

# **Information and Communication Technology and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions Nexus for Asian Economies: Evidence from Heterogeneous Income Groups**

**Muhammad Tariq Majeed**

*Associate Professor, School of Economics, Quaid-i-Azam University, Islamabad, Pakistan*

*Email: [tariq@qau.edu.pk](mailto:tariq@qau.edu.pk)*

**Amna Ahsen (Corresponding Author)**

*MPhil Scholar, School of Economics, Quaid-i-Azam University, Islamabad, Pakistan*

*Email: [amnaahsen15@gmail.com](mailto:amnaahsen15@gmail.com)*

**Maria Mazhar**

*MPhil Scholar, School of Economics, Quaid-i-Azam University, Islamabad, Pakistan*

*Email: [mariamazhar28@yahoo.com](mailto:mariamazhar28@yahoo.com)*

## **Abstract:**

Over the last decade a rapid technological advancement has been causing a revolutionary change in the countries, affecting every sphere of the economies. This study explores the impact of information and communication technology (ICT) and economic growth on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions for three income groups of Asia. ICT is proxied by constructing an index of ICT using fixed telephone (FTS), fixed broadband (FBS), mobile cellular subscriptions (MCS) and individuals using internet (IUI). The analysis covered the period of 1990 to 2016 and performed by exploiting panel time series techniques such as cross-sectional dependence tests (Brusch Pagan LM, Pesaran scaled LM and Pesaran CD), unit root tests (CADF and CIPS), cointegration test (Westerlund), and fully modified ordinary least square (FMOLS) and dynamic ordinary least square (DOLS). The results indicate the existence of cross-sectional dependence among Asian economies and long run relationship among the selected variables. Our findings of FMOLS indicate the existence of EKC for middle income and high-income Asian countries whereas reject the EKC for low-income Asian countries. The study reveals heterogenous effects of ICT on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. The ICT significantly mitigates CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in low- and middle-income countries while escalates CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in high income Asian countries.

**Keywords:** CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, GDP, ICT

1      **1. Introduction:**

2            Information and communication technology (ICT) is an extension of traditionally known  
3 concept of information technology (IT). The notion got popularity after the World War II, but the  
4 ICT actually started developing since the 2000s. Although, the term (ICT) has no universal  
5 definition, it generally means all devices, components, networking and systems that allow people  
6 to interact with each other in the digital world. The concept is, therefore, so broadly applicable  
7 including entertainment products, households, electronics consumed by individuals like  
8 computers, radios, television, music players, entertainment games, network, hardware and  
9 communication technologies like mobile phones (Ropke & Christensen, 2012).

10          ICT is considered as an agent which brings societies closer to each other. By doing this,  
11 ICT support societal, economic and interpersonal interactions and transactions. In the field of  
12 economics its importance can be recognized from the concept of neo-classical growth model in  
13 which technology is considered an important determinant of output. The advocates of this theory  
14 claim that economies can never achieve stable growth without technological advancement.  
15 Khater & Allah (2017) assert that in the present era ICT has emerged as an important agent for  
16 increasing economic growth and human development. As use of such technology and equipment  
17 helps individuals and businesses to use and communicate information efficiently at low cost.  
18 Therefore, it leads to efficient production by improving productivity and energy efficiency that in  
19 turn enhance growth in many sectors of the economies (Kaplan, 2006).

20          Further, ICT assists in trade and create opportunities for the new business, jobs, and  
21 revenues (Carayannis & Popescu, 2005). Its diffusion promotes market competition and in turn  
22 the domestic and foreign investment that also contribute to the growth of the economy (Gruber &  
23 Verboven, 2001). However, by improving the economies' growth (Latif et al., 2018; Toader et  
24 al., 2018) ICT changes the level of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions (Bengochea-Morancho, 2001; Hossain, 2011).  
25 According to Akin (2014) economic growth and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions are highly linked. Theoretically,  
26 this relation of economic growth and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions is evidenced by the famous theory of  
27 Environment Kuznets curve (EKC). EKC hypothesis states that in the initial stage of  
28 development, level of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions increases in an economy with the increase in per capita  
29 income, reaching to the highest level and worsening the environmental quality. However, after a  
30 threshold level increase in income causes reduction in CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, improving the  
31 environmental quality (Grossman & Krueger, 1991).

32        Regardless of the economic growth channel ICT directly affects environmental quality by  
33 increasing or reducing the climatic burden in the form of greenhouse gases mainly CO<sub>2</sub> which is  
34 major contributor of greenhouse gas emissions (World Bank, 2007). According to Hilty et al.  
35 (2006) and Ishida (2014) ICT by improving efficiency in production processes and enhancing the  
36 use of environmentally friendly products exerts positive externalities in the transport sector and  
37 help to mitigate climatic burden. Chavanne et al. (2015), Mathiesen et al. (2015), Zhang & Liu,  
38 (2015) and Monzon et al. (2017) also states that application of ICT technology in transport and  
39 industrial sector help to control the environmental degradation.

40        However, ICT also has major undeniable impact on environmental quality as its use,  
41 disposal and recycling directly contributes a lot in greenhouse gas emission. E-waste, which is  
42 discarded ICT and other electronic equipment, is a major contributor in deterioration of  
43 environmental quality. According to global E-waste monitor report (2017) from 2014 to 2017  
44 around 44.1 million metric tons of e-waste generated worldwide which further predicted to  
45 increase by 52.2 million metric tons in 2021. Gonel & Akinci (2018) said that ICT contributes  
46 nearly 2% in global CO<sub>2</sub> emissions and hence plays a role in degradation of the environmental  
47 quality. Because ICT employed devices increases energy and fuel consumption for production  
48 activities and then the CO<sub>2</sub> emissions (Asongu et al., 2017).

49        This means that, in broader term, ICT has two dimensional economic and environmental  
50 aspects. We are aiming to explore its impact on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions for the Asian region. The  
51 selection of the Asia is based on the fact that from the past few decades the regions is facing  
52 severe environmental pressure. Among the other factors ICT also contribute to this  
53 environmental pressure as according to the global e-waste monitor report (2017) the problem of  
54 e-waste in Asia is difficult to ignore as it generated most of world's e-waste around 40.7%. From  
55 2010 to 2015, the size of discarded electronics in South-East and East Asia surge almost two-  
56 thirds (Honda et al., 2016). The region has the most complicated geographical and economical  
57 structure consisting both developing and industrial economies having diversity in economic  
58 development, emissions level and ICT consumption. In the region, rise in income has increased  
59 the demand for new appliances and gadgets and in turn affected the countries e-waste level.  
60 Cambodia, China, Hong Kong, Indonesia, Japan, Malaysia, Philippines, Singapore, South Korea,  
61 Taiwan, Thailand and Vietnam e-waste increase about 63% in the past five years (2010-2015)  
62 and totaled 12.3 million tons, a weight 2.4 times that of the Great Pyramid of Giza. China alone

63 is contributing to this waste and generated more than doubled e-waste between 2010 and 2015 to  
64 6.7 million tons (Honda et al., 2016), up 107% which increase to 7.2 mt in 2017 (global e-waste  
65 monitor report, 2017). Also, China remained the top CO<sub>2</sub> emitter in the recent past followed by  
66 India, then Indonesia (Alam et al. 2016). The whole region has experienced high growth rates  
67 over the last years, but they did not focus to retain their environmental quality. Hence,  
68 environmental quality remained a serious challenge for policy makers within the Asian  
69 economies.

70 Few studies are available on this topic. Lee & Brahmasrene (2014) explore the impact of  
71 ICT (using ICT index) on CO<sub>2</sub> for ASEAN countries, Zhang et al. (2015) study the ICT impact  
72 for Chinese regions, Danish et al. (2018) used two indicators of ICT and explore the impact for  
73 Next-11 countries, Majeed (2018) take five proxies of ICT and their index for examining their  
74 effect in developed and developing economies, Asongu (2018) tested ICT impact for African  
75 countries, Notley (2019) analyze the ICT impact on Asian economies conducting a descriptive  
76 study and Raheem et al. (2020) incorporate the three proxies of ICT and checked the impact for  
77 G-7 countries. Hence, there is dearth in the literature regrading Asian countries.

78 Therefore, our study contributes to the existing literature in many ways. First, to the best  
79 of our knowledge this is first study of its kind which explore the difference of impact of ICT on  
80 CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in three income groups of Asia i.e. low-, middle- and high-income Asian  
81 countries. Second, this study exploits the recently develop second generation econometrics  
82 techniques whereas previous studies in this area mainly employed first generation econometrics  
83 methods. Third, through this analysis the study presents a comparative analysis of ICT-  
84 environmental quality relationship for low-, middle- and high-income group of Asia region.  
85 Fourth, in the presence of ICT checking the validity of EKC theory for these income groups also  
86 add new insight in the existing available literature.

87 The reminder of the paper is structured as follows: section 2 presents the review of  
88 literature. Section 3 gives information about data, econometric model and methodology. Section  
89 4 discusses the empirical findings and section 5 concludes the study.

## 90 **2. Literature Review**

91 In the present era, particularly during the time period of 2000 to 2013 ICT has emerged  
92 as an important agent for increasing economic growth and human development (Khater & Allah,  
93 2017). But during this period climatic burden also increased in the form of greenhouse gas

94 emissions. Among these gases, carbon dioxide ( $\text{CO}_2$ ) has highest proportion and hence  
95 considered as a major cause of climatic burden. One of the major concerns of policy makers in  
96 the present era is to achieve sustainable development. Hence, there is need to concentrate on both  
97 economic and environmental issues. From a theoretical point of view, scholars and researchers  
98 used the EKC framework to build link between the environmental quality and economic growth.  
99 This study focuses on the following strands of literature: nexus between economic  $\text{CO}_2$  emissions  
100 and economic growth involving the theory of EKC, ICT and economic growth, and in the end  
101 ICT and  $\text{CO}_2$  emissions.

## 102 **2.1. Nexus between Economic Growth and $\text{CO}_2$ Emissions involving EKC Theory**

103 After the theoretical establishment of concept of EKC, studies have provided its  
104 empirical evidence (Grossman & Krueger, 1991; 1992; Panayotou, 1993; Dogan, et al. 2020).  
105 Jalil & Mahmud (2009) observed two-way causality between economic growth and  $\text{CO}_2$   
106 emissions and gave confirmation of environment Kuznets curve in case of China for the period  
107 1975 to 2005. There are some other studies in literature that provided evidence for acceptance or  
108 rejection of EKC by carrying time series analysis on single economy. Saboori et al. (2012)  
109 favored EKC for the economy of Malaysia over the period of 1980-2009. Shahbaz et al. (2013)  
110 carried out analysis on the economy of Turkey for time period 1970-2010 and rejected the EKC  
111 theory. Al-Mulali et al. (2015), using the data of 1981 to 2011 also rejected the EKC for the  
112 economy of Vietnam. Their study finds energy exhaustive and increasing environmental  
113 degradation impact of production in the economy. Similarly, Farhani & Ozturk (2015) rejected  
114 EKC in case of economy of Tunisia and Dogan, et al. (2020) rejected the EKC for BRICST  
115 (Brazil, Russia, India, China, South Africa, Turkey) countries. Javid & Sharif (2016) and  
116 Mikayilov et al. (2018) on the other hand, provided evidence for validity of EKC in economy of  
117 Pakistan and Azerbaijan, respectively.

118 Beside these studies a few studies carried panel data analysis for more than one region  
119 and provided different evidence regarding the validity of EKC for their observed region. Arouri  
120 et al. (2012) conducted their study for MENA region by taking the sample of 12 MENA  
121 countries and the period from 1981 to 2005. They provided the evidence of EKC in these  
122 economies. A study of Apergis & Ozturk (2015) also provided the evidence EKC hypothesis for  
123 14 Asian economies using the data from 1990 to 2011 and employing the GMM methodology.  
124 Al-Mulali et al. (2015) checked this relation for the economies of Latin America and Caribbean

125 countries as these economies have high CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. Their findings were in favor of EKC for  
126 the observed region.

127 Jebli et al. (2016) further confirmed this relation for 25 OECD countries for the period  
128 1980 to 2010 by incorporating the role of renewable and non-renewable energy consumption and  
129 trade openness. This relation of EKC is also valid for top four CO<sub>2</sub> emitters i.e. Brazil, China,  
130 India and Indonesia for the time period 1970-2012 (Alam et al., 2016). While EKC relation is  
131 rejected for some emerging economies i.e. economies of West Africa (Adu & Denkyirah, 2017).  
132 Some studies checked the causality between economic growth and CO<sub>2</sub>emissions. In this regard,  
133 the study of Halicioglu (2008) favor causal relationship among GDP and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions  
134 whereas, Tiwari (2011) rejected their causality relation.

## 135 **2.2. Nexus between ICT and Economic Growth**

136 ICT is found as most significant factor for increasing growth of economies in existing  
137 era. According to Sassi & Goaied (2013) and Shahiduzzaman & Alam (2014) ICT diffusion in  
138 the form of mobile cellular phone subscriptions and internet both have a positive influence on  
139 economic growth. ICT has a great contribution in economic growth and development not only in  
140 the developed economies but also in the developing and low-income countries. Latif et al.  
141 (2018), Toader et al. (2018), and Lopez & Armendia (2012) empirically proved it for developing  
142 and developed world. According to them spending on ICT greatly improve economic growth of  
143 the countries.

144 Asongu & Le Roux (2017) evidenced it for low-income countries of sub-Saharan Africa.  
145 However, lesser investment incentives by ICT adoption are found in case of developing countries  
146 as compared to developed one (Niebel, 2018). This may be due to the different absorptive  
147 capacities, structure, institutions, free market competition and innovation capacity of developed  
148 and developing countries (Avgerou, 2003).Beside this, one-way causality and bidirectional  
149 causality between ICT and economic growth is also observed (Kuppusamy, 2007; Kuppusamy et  
150 al., 2009; Lam & Shiu, 2010; Pradhan et al., 2018).This ensures that not only ICT promote  
151 economies' growth but in turn growth of an economy indorses ICT adoption in the country.

## 152 **2.3. Nexus between ICT and CO<sub>2</sub> Emissions**

153 As it is discussed earlier that ICT promote economies' growth. This growth in turn  
154 changes level of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in the economies and effect environmental quality. This is the  
155 indirect impact of ICT on environmental quality. However, use of ICT employed technology also

156 directly contributes to the level of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions therefore its environmental impacts are  
157 undeniable. On one hand, ICT devices increase climatic burden due to large scale energy  
158 consumption and through E-waste. On the other hand, it decreases climatic burden through clean  
159 technologies. Both these arguments are empirically proved in the existing literature.

160 According to Lee (2014) Belkhir & Elmeligi (2018), Pohl et al. (2019) and Iqbal et al.  
161 (2019) ICT increases climatic burden in the form of greenhouse gas emissions by increasing  
162 energy consumption. However, a number of studies found mitigating impact of ICT on  
163 greenhouse gas emissions (Zhang & Liu, 2015; Monzon et al., 2017; Asongu, 2018). According  
164 to them ICT involves the technology of enhancing efficiency and low-carbon way of living as  
165 ICT change the societal way of living. This in turn assists in minimizing pollution. Furthermore,  
166 there are some studies which shows insignificant impact of ICT on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions  
167 (Shahiduzzaman & Alam, 2016; Amri et al., 2019).

168 The literature shows that ICT and environment are highly linked with each other as ICT  
169 have both positive and negative effects on environmental quality. Other than this, ICT also  
170 contribute towards the development of economies and thereby affect the productivity and overall  
171 growth. The growth is the macroeconomic phenomenon and affects every aspect of the country  
172 including environment. However, it influences environment linearly and non-linearly describing  
173 the EKC theory. We are adding to the literature by performing an analysis of ICT and CO<sub>2</sub>  
174 emissions for three income group of Asia (low, middle and upper). Further, checking the validity  
175 of EKC theory for these income groups in the presence of ICT in the EKC model is another  
176 contribution of the study.

### 177 **3.Data, Econometric Model and Methodology**

178 This study examines the impact of ICT adoption on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions and the validity of  
179 EKC hypothesis for low, middle and high income Asian countries. Data is taken from World  
180 Bank (2019) database. Due to data limitation time period chosen for analysis is 1990 to 2016.  
181 CO<sub>2</sub> emissions is dependent variable which is a widely accepted measure of environmental  
182 quality (Mikayilov et al., 2018; Asongu, 2018; Amri et al., 2019). To capture the impact of ICT,  
183 by using four proxies of ICT we constructed an index through principal component analysis  
184 (PCA). These proxies included percentage of fixed telephone subscriptions **FTS** (Pradhan et al.,  
185 2015; Khater & Allah 2017; Amrie et al., 2019), percentage of fixed Broadband subscriptions  
186 (**FBS**) (Pradhan et al., 2015; Toader et al., 2018; Latif et al., 2018), percentage of Mobile cellular

187 subscriptions (**MCS**)( Toader et al., 2018), and percentage of individuals using internet (Pradhan  
188 et al., 2015; Toader et al., 2018; Latif et al., 2018). To check the validity of EKC hypothesis  
189 GDP measured in constant 2010 US dollars (Latif et al., 2014; Toader et al., 2018) and its square  
190 term is incorporated in the econometric model.

191 Beside these independent focused variables (ICT, GDP and its square term), few control  
192 variables are used in the model namely urbanization, electricity use and inflation. Urbanization is  
193 proxied through urban population in percentage which is initially used by (Zhang & Liu, 2015;  
194 Farhani & Ozturk, 2015; Al-Mulali & Ozturk, 2016). Electricity use is measured though electric  
195 power consumption in kilo watt per hour as previously used by (Al-Mulai et al., 2015; Al-Mulali  
196 & Ozturk, 2016) while determining the validity of EKC. Urbanization and electricity  
197 consumption directly influence level of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. Moreover, use of ICT devices and  
198 equipment also increases consumption of electricity and other energy resources hence increases  
199 CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. Inflation is measured as a percentage of GDP deflator (see Ahmad et al., 2020;  
200 Ullah et al., 2020). Inflation instability indirectly influences environment by affecting the  
201 performance of the capital market (Ahmad et al., 2020). The econometric model is as follows:

$$ICO_2 = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \lgdp_{it} + \beta_2 \lgdp_{it}^2 + \beta_3 ICT_{it} + \beta_4 upp_{it} + \beta_5 epc_{it} + \beta_6 infl_{it} + \mu_{it} \dots \text{eq. 1}$$

202 Where *i* represents cross sections and *t* is for time period which is from 1990 to 2016. In  
203 this model **ICT** represents ICT index, **UPP** is for urban population in percentage, **EPC** denotes  
204 electric power consumption and **INFL** used as short form of inflation and  $\mu_{it}$  is error term.  
205 Among all GDP, its square and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions are converted into logarithmic form to make their  
206 interpretation convenient.  $\beta_0$  is intercept and  $\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_6$  are unknown parameters that are to  
207 be estimated. Signs of  $\beta_1$  expected to be positive and that of  $\beta_2$  expected be negative for validity  
208 of EKC. Sign of ICT with CO<sub>2</sub> emissions may be positive or negative depends on methods and  
209 modes of ICT adoption. Whereas signs of the two control variables among three i.e. urban  
210 population and inflation predicted to be positive or negative depending upon geographical  
211 conditions of a region however, we anticipate positive sign of electric power consumption.

212 After deciding our sample and econometric model, our next step is to choose appropriate  
213 econometric techniques for the empirical analysis. In our sample time period (T) is greater than  
214 number of countries (N). This means that time factor dominants suggesting the analysis of panel  
215 suitable. Panel time series involves certain steps, checking the cross-sectional dependence

216 (CSD), unit root, cointegration and then coefficient estimates for both long run and short run or  
217 preferably for long run.

218 In the first step, we will check CSD through Brush pagan LM test (1980), Pesaran scaled  
219 LM test (2004) and Pesaran CD. Null hypothesis of all these tests is “there is no cross-sectional  
220 dependence”. In our case Brush pagan LM test (1980) is more appropriate as in all income group  
221 T>N. According to Haseeb et al. (2019) in case where T>N, Brush pagan LM test (1980) is more  
222 suitable then other.

223 The second most important step in panel time series estimations is to move towards  
224 stationarity check of the variables being used. To check stationarity of the variables we applied  
225 second generation unit root tests CADF and CIPS, proposed by Pesaran (2007). These tests take  
226 into account CSD and are robust to heterogeneity. Therefore, these tests give more appropriate  
227 results than first generation unit test IPS (Im, Pesaran and Shin W-stat), LLC (Levin, Lin & Chu,  
228 test) Fisher-PP, Fisher-ADF tests, in case of CSD in data.

229 The third step after determining level of stationarity of variables is to check co integration  
230 among variables. For this purpose, tests are generally used which are Pedroni (1999, 2004) co  
231 integration tests Pradhan et al. (2018). Other than these recent studies are frequently using  
232 another test namely Westerlund (2007) co-integration test proposed by Westerlund in 2007. This  
233 test is suitable for small sized samples and also it accounts for both between and within unit  
234 CSD. Its results are divided into panel statistics ( $P_t$ ,  $P_a$ ) and group statistics ( $G_t$ ,  $G_a$ ). Beside  
235 these, variance ratio statistics also tells about presence of co-integration among our variables. We  
236 chose to apply second generation Westerlund co-integration test (Saud et al., 2019; Haseeb et al.  
237 2019).

238 In the next step we will obtain long run estimates by using fully modified ordinary least  
239 square (FMOLS) proposed by Hensen & Phillips (1990). FMOLS takes into consideration the  
240 intercept and endogeneity issue, hence provide efficient and asymptotically unbiased results in  
241 the presence of endogeneity (Pedroni, 2001). It also removes the problem of omitted variable  
242 bias and homogeneity restrictions on long-run parameters. FMOLS is more appropriate to use  
243 where the data does not fulfill the requirements of application of OLS (ordinary least square) and  
244 have issues like endogeneity, serial correlation and co-integration.

245 Further, for robustness check of long run estimates we applied dynamic ordinary least  
246 square (DOLS) proposed by Saikkonen (1992) and Stock & Watson (1993). This estimate is

247 robust for the case where sample size is small having lesser number of cross sections and does  
 248 not eliminate the simultaneity problem. Cointegrating vectors obtained by using DOLS are  
 249 asymptotically efficient (Sallahuddin et al. 2016). It involves augmentation of cointegration  
 250 regressions with lags and leads and hence the cointegration equation obtained as a result is  
 251 orthogonal to the entire history of the regression. Moreover, by using single lag and lead of the  
 252 differenced co-integration regressors this technique eliminates long run correlation. Hence, this  
 253 technique is efficient in the presence of long run correlation (Lee & Brahmashrene, 2014).

254 **4. Results and Discussion**

255 **4.1. Summary Statistics of Data**

256 Summary statistics of data gives brief description of different statistical properties of data  
 257 like mean value of variables, median, their standard deviation, maximum and minimum value of  
 258 variables, skewness kurtosis and some others. Table 2 gives information about important  
 259 statistical properties of the data. Mean value of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions for whole Asia is 7.24 and it  
 260 deviates 8.25 from its mean value. Mean value of gross domestic product is 552 billion and its  
 261 deviation from mean value is 1520. Internet is most commonly used application of ICT its mean  
 262 value is 28.80 percent. Maximum value of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions is 70.04 metric tons for country of  
 263 Qatar in 1997. While Macao remained least CO<sub>2</sub> emitter having minimum value of CO<sub>2</sub>  
 264 emissions 0.028407 metric tons in 2011. Maximum value of GDP is 9490 which lies in china for  
 265 the year 2016 whereas its minimum value is 3380 in 1991 for Bhutan. Minimum value of  
 266 percentage of internet users was 1 percent which is for Saudi Arabia in 1995. Also, percentage of  
 267 internet users remained 0 percent in many countries for many years. Whereas maximum value of  
 268 percentage of internet users is 100 percent in Kuwait in the year 2017.

269 **Table 2: Table of Summary Statistics of Data**

Variables	No of Obs.	Mean	Std. Dev.	Minimum	Maximum
<b>Asia: Complete panel</b>					
CO2 emissions	452	7.24	8.25	0.10	43.86
Gross domestic product	452	552	1520	3380	9490
Fixed telephone subs	452	21.61	17.49	0.38	62.62
Fixed broadband subs	452	7.19	9.74	0.00	32.81
Mobile cellular subs	452	78.56	52.48	0.26	239.44
Internet users	452	28.80	26.72	0.06	100
Urban population	452	61.71	26.51	15.46	100.00
Electricity use	452	4507.56	5130.83	74.85	21508.36
Inflation	452	6.72	8.54	18.89	52.92
<b>Low Income Asian Countries</b>					

<b>CO2 emissions</b>	28	0.53	0.35	0.098	1.0908
<b>Gross domestic product</b>	28	17	9460	4	31
<b>Fixed telephone subs</b>	28	4.07	0.86	2.35	5.34
<b>Fixed broadband subs</b>	28	0.30	0.40	0.00015	1.32
<b>Mobile cellular subs</b>	28	48.05	29.12	0.73	96.93
<b>Internet users</b>	28	9.76	6.37	0.065	22.5500
<b>Urban population</b>	28	25.18	6.34	15.46	34.17
<b>Electricity use</b>	28	709.32	813.97	83.48	2138.640
<b>Inflation</b>	28	12.30	8.17	-8.71	28.15
<b>Middle Income Asian Countries</b>					
<b>CO2 emissions</b>	315	4.54	5.68	0.17	35.92
<b>Gross domestic product</b>	315	450	1120	3	8
<b>Fixed telephone subs</b>	315	12.92	9.012	0.19	38.82
<b>Fixed broadband subs</b>	315	2.62	3.88	0.0000	19.97
<b>Mobile cellular subs</b>	315	63.65	44.39	0.263	182.53
<b>Internet users</b>	315	19.37	19.65	0.07	90.40
<b>Urban population</b>	315	51.75	19.8038	18.19600	90.00
<b>Electricity use</b>	315	2120.92	2447.72	50.33	12502.70
<b>Inflation</b>	315	8.86	8.76	-19.52	59.74
<b>High Income Asian Countries</b>					
<b>CO2 emissions</b>	158	16.73	12,4619	4.3426	63.83
<b>Gross domestic product</b>	158	727	1700	12	5900
<b>Fixed telephone subs</b>	158	33.82	16.89	8.71	62.61
<b>Fixed broadband subs</b>	158	10.96	10.70	0.00	32.81
<b>Mobile cellular subs</b>	158	105.67	46.09	11.93	239.44
<b>Internet users</b>	158	46.49	23.82	4.68	91.49
<b>Urban population</b>	158	88.07	11.31	67.03	100
<b>Electricity use</b>	158	9374.38	4801.49	3455.26	21508.40
<b>Inflation</b>	158	3.82	8.69	-25.12	33.75

270

271 **4.2. Cross Sectional Dependency in Data**

272 We are taking the data of countries from the same geographical region i.e. from Asia so  
 273 the countries may be similar in terms of their economic, geographic, social and political  
 274 conditions. Increase or decrease in GDP of one country may affect GDP of another country.  
 275 There are many chances that Asian economies may integrate with each other. In order to know  
 276 this, we applied cross sectional dependence test separately on three data sets. Their results are  
 277 discussed in table 3. According to the results, probability values are less than 0.05 for all the  
 278 income groups according to the three types of cross-sectional dependence tests. So, we can reject  
 279 the null hypothesis of no CSD and can proceed our estimations based on the conclusion of  
 280 presence of cross-sectional dependence.

281

282 **Table 4: CSD Test Across Income Groups of Asia (Low, Middle and High)**

<b>Low Income Asian Countries</b>						
<b>Variables</b>	<b>Brush pagan LM test</b>		<b>Pesaran scaled LM test</b>		<b>Pesaran CD</b>	
	<b>Statistics</b>	<b>Probability</b>	<b>Statistics</b>	<b>Probability</b>	<b>statistics</b>	<b>Probability</b>
<b>lCO<sub>2</sub></b>	73.4513***	0.0000	13.0701***	0.0000	-1.9165***	0.0000
<b>GDP</b>	33.3575***	0.0000	11.1686***	0.0000	5.4047***	0.0000
<b>IGDP<sup>2</sup></b>	33.4595***	0.0000	11.210***	0.0000	5.4047***	0.0000
<b>ICT index</b>	61.8641***	0.0000	14.9719***	0.0000	7.8606***	0.0000
<b>Urban population</b>	189.469***	0.0000	39.0125***	0.0000	3.2839***	0.0000
<b>Electricity use</b>	127.446***	0.0000	25.1438***	0.0000	0.9804***	0.0000
<b>Inflation</b>	17.2805***	0.0000	0.5099***	0.0000	3.0445***	0.0000
<b>Middle Income Asian Countries</b>						
<b>Variables</b>	<b>Brush pagan LM test</b>		<b>Pesaran scaled LM test</b>		<b>Pesaran CD</b>	
	<b>Statistics</b>	<b>Probability</b>	<b>Statistics</b>	<b>Probability</b>	<b>statistics</b>	<b>Probability</b>
<b>lCO<sub>2</sub></b>	5326.47***	0.0000	158.397***	0.0000	44.7085***	0.0000
<b>GDP</b>	10025.24***	0.0000	312.476***	0.0000	99.3429***	0.0000
<b>IGDP<sup>2</sup></b>	10051.30***	0.0000	313.306***	0.0000	99.4803***	0.0000
<b>ICT index</b>	4266.998***	0.0000	123.656***	0.0000	63.8189***	0.0000
<b>Urban population</b>	9525.577***	0.0000	296.0915***	0.0000	57.3132***	0.0000
<b>Electricity use</b>	3796.329***	0.0000	135.1396***	0.0000	35.2656***	0.0000
<b>Inflation</b>	1861.645***	0.0000	44.78125***	0.0000	28.16438***	0.0000
<b>High Income Asian Countries</b>						
<b>Variables</b>	<b>Brush pagan LM test</b>		<b>Pesaran scaled LM test</b>		<b>Pesaran CD</b>	
	<b>Statistics</b>	<b>Probability</b>	<b>Statistics</b>	<b>Probability</b>	<b>statistics</b>	<b>Probability</b>
<b>lCO<sub>2</sub></b>	318.01***	0.0000	18.7560***	0.0000	1.6907*	0.0909
<b>GDP</b>	-	0.0000	-	0.0000	-	0.0000
<b>IGDP<sup>2</sup></b>	-	0.0000	-	0.0000	-	0.0000
<b>ICT index</b>	918.411***	0.0000	73.14840***	0.0000	30.2648***	0.0000
<b>Urban population</b>	-	0.0000	-	0.0000	-	0.0000
<b>Electricity use</b>	804.549***	0.0000	70.4179***	0.0000	26.45674***	0.0000
<b>Inflation</b>	443.840***	0.0000	28.2498***	0.0000	8.32376***	0.0000

283

284 **4.3. Unit Root Tests**

285 In the next step second generation unit root tests CADF and CIPS are applied for  
 286 checking the stationarity properties of the variables. Results are given in table 4 in which test  
 287 statistics along with probability values are mentioned. Results of CADF test for low income  
 288 countries shows variables of GDP, its square and inflation are stationary at level with 1%  
 289 significance level as their probability values are less than 0.05. Whereas urban population is  
 290 stationary at level with 10% significance level. Other variables like CO<sub>2</sub>, ICT, electricity use and  
 291 inflation are stationary at first difference. In the group of middle-income countries, only urban  
 292 population and inflation are stationary at level according to CADF test while all other variables  
 293 are stationary at first difference. The results of CIPS test for this income group shows stationarity

294 at first difference for all the variables accept urban population as its probability value is 0.000 at  
 295 level. In the data of high-income countries, GDP, ICT, urban population and inflation are  
 296 stationary at level with 1% significance level according to CADF test. Square of GDP is  
 297 stationary at level with 5% significance. Results of CIPS test for this income group reveals  
 298 stationarity of all variables at first difference.

299

300 **Table 5: Results of Unit Root Tests for Low-, Middle- and High-Income Asian Countries**

	CADF Test Results		CIPS Test Result	
	t-stats	Probability	t-stats	Probability
<b>Low Income Asian Countries</b>				
<b>ICO2</b>	0.991	0.010	0.969	0.584
<b>IGDP</b>	0.001	-	0.977	0.110
<b>IGDP<sup>2</sup></b>	0.000	-	0.978	0.115
<b>ICT</b>	0.215	0.012	1.000	0.002
<b>Urb pop</b>	0.081	0.002	0.025	-
<b>Elec use</b>	0.879	0.004	0.999	0.548
<b>Inflation</b>	0.000	-	0.880	0.634
<b>Middle Income Asian Countries</b>				
<b>LCO2</b>	0.081	0.000	0.870	0.000
<b>IGDP</b>	0.732	0.000	0.921	0.000
<b>IGDP<sup>2</sup></b>	0.685	0.000	0.881	0.001
<b>ICT</b>	0.997	0.591	0.766	0.066
<b>Urb pop</b>	0.000	-	0.000	-
<b>Elec use</b>	0.761	0.000	0.999	0.000
<b>Inflation</b>	0.000	-	0.800	0.000
<b>High Income Asian Countries</b>				
<b>ICO2</b>	0.476	0.000	0.352	0.000
<b>IGDP</b>	0.05	0.000	0.122	0.040
<b>IGDP<sup>2</sup></b>	0.07	0.000	0.123	0.044
<b>ICT</b>	0.007	-	0.141	0.000
<b>Urb pop</b>	0.001	-	0.000	-
<b>Elec use</b>	0.788	0.000	0.566	0.015
<b>Inflation</b>	0.038	-	0.722	0.008

301 **4.4. Panel Cointegration Tests**

302 Our next step of panel time series analysis is to check the cointegrating relationship  
 303 among the variables. We have applied the second generation cointegration test namely  
 304 Westerlund cointegration test. In table 5, variance ratios and probability values confirm presence  
 305 of co-integration for all the three income groups i.e. data of low income and middle-income  
 306 countries is integrated at 1% significance level whereas, the data of high-income countries is  
 307 integrated at 5% significance level.

308 **Table 6: Results of Westerlund Cointegration Test**

<b>Income Groups</b>	<b>Variance ratio</b>	
	<b>Statistics</b>	<b>Probability</b>
<b>Low-income countries</b>	5.0318 ***	0.0000
<b>Middle income countries</b>	-2.2554***	0.0121
<b>High income countries</b>	-1.5824**	0.0568

309

310 **4.5. Results of FMOLS**

311 Results of FMOLS regressions are given in table 6 where in the first panel the result of  
 312 low-income Asian countries, in the second panel the results middle income Asian countries and  
 313 in the third panel the results of upper income Asian countries are reported. The findings of low-  
 314 income Asian countries showing that the signs of GDP and GDP square negative (-2.84) and  
 315 positive (0.12) also coefficients are statistically significant for low-income countries of Asia.  
 316 This means that the impact of economic growth on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions is non-linear however, taking  
 317 the U shape which is against the EKC theory (which take an inverted-U shape). Therefore, our  
 318 findings from FMOLS clearly indicate that EKC is not valid for countries belonging to low-  
 319 income groups of Asia. These findings are consistent with that of Omisakin & Olusegun (2009)  
 320 who worked on Nigerian economy, Omojolaibi (2010) who worked for selected West African  
 321 economies and with the conclusion drawn by Alam et al. (2016) for four highly populated and  
 322 emerging economies i.e. Brazil, China, India and Indonesia. This result is also consistent with  
 323 Majeed & Mazhar (2020) who found the similar results for south Asian economies and for the  
 324 low-income group of global economy. According to them low-income countries often follow  
 325 middle- and upper-income countries and also their economy's size is small comparative to  
 326 middle- and upper-income countries. Therefore, low-income countries give priority to the  
 327 environment and adopt effective measures to conserve the environment. For example, countries  
 328 tried to import environmentally friendly technology and save energy. Further, they can also  
 329 control the import and use of the pollution creating products and therefore, protect the  
 330 environmental quality. However, considering environmental problems in control they prioritize  
 331 economic development which result in higher pollution. Our finding of significant impact of  
 332 GDP on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions contradicts with that of Tiwari (2011) who found no causality running  
 333 from GDP to CO<sub>2</sub> emissions.

334 Moving towards the results of middle income and high-income Asian countries EKC  
 335 seems to be valid as coefficient of GDP is positive and its square is negative. In case of middle-

336 income countries, coefficient values of GDP and its square shows that one percent increase in  
337 GDP initially increases CO<sub>2</sub> emissions by 1.560 percent but after a certain level further increase  
338 in GDP causes reduction in CO<sub>2</sub> emissions by 0.0205 percent. While, for high income countries  
339 coefficient of GDP is 5.079 and that of GDP square is -0.106 which shows, at initial level one  
340 percent increase in GDP increases CO<sub>2</sub> emissions by 5.079 percent but after reaching a threshold  
341 level further one percent increase in GDP decreases CO<sub>2</sub> emissions by -0.106 percent.

342 These results are consistent with Jalil & Mahmud (2009) in case of china, Javid and Sharif  
343 (2016) in case of economy of Pakistan, Alam et al. (2016) in case of economy of Indonesia,  
344 China and Brazil also with that of Apergis & Ozturk (2015) in case of 14 Asian countries. All  
345 these studies confirm existence of EKC for their respective focused regions. In case of validity of  
346 EKC in high income countries our findings are consistent with the finding of Al-Mulali &  
347 Ozturk (2016), Higón et al. (2017) who proved EKC for 27 advanced countries and 26 developed  
348 countries, and Majeed & Mazhar (2020) for upper income countries. They argued that presence  
349 of EKC in these economies ensure the structural transformation in the counties. According to  
350 them these economies have attained the sustainable development level. This finding contradicts  
351 with the finding of Al-Mulali et al. (2015) and Farhani & Ozturk (2015) and also with that of  
352 Chandran & Tang (2013).

353 Impact of increased use of ICT on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions for low income and middle-income  
354 Asian countries is negative and significant which exhibits increase in ICT employed technology  
355 decreases CO<sub>2</sub> emissions of the region significantly. This finding is consistent with the study  
356 carried out for low-income countries of Africa by Asongu (2018) which revealed significant and  
357 decreasing impact of ICT on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. Results of low-income countries show one percent  
358 increased use in ICT, decreases CO<sub>2</sub> emissions by 0.116 percent whereas in middle income  
359 countries one percent increase in ICT employed technology decreases CO<sub>2</sub> emissions by 0.04432  
360 percent. This is due to the fact that these counties follow upper income countries and enhance the  
361 use of ICT in order to protect the environment. Thus, ICT by increasing efficiency in production  
362 process in industrial sector and through energy saving in transport sector can improve  
363 environmental quality. However, for high income Asian countries ICT use has positive and  
364 significant impact on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. Meaning that one percent increase in ICT use lead to  
365 0.04081 increase in CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. This relationship can be justified due to the nature and  
366 situation in these economies as upper income countries have greater economy's size, production

367 activities, higher demand and consumption that in turn increase ICT uses and carbon emissions  
 368 as well. These countries have completed their transition period and now that advance technology  
 369 is no more (less) advance in these counties and did not play more beneficial role. This shows the  
 370 scope of new research and technological development in these countries.

371 Among the three control variables urban population has insignificant impact on CO<sub>2</sub>  
 372 emissions of low-income countries and middle-income countries. This finding is consistent with  
 373 that of Zhang & Liu (2015) for eastern region of Asia while contradicts with that of Farhani &  
 374 Ozturk (2015) and Mulali & Ozturk (2016). Electricity use has positive and significant impact on  
 375 CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in all income group of Asia (Mulali et al., 2015; Mulali & Ozturk 2016). One kilo  
 376 watt per hour increase in electricity consumption increases CO<sub>2</sub> emissions by 0.001972 for low-  
 377 income countries, 0.000263 for middle income countries and 0.00124 percent in high income  
 378 countries. This finding is in line with Saint Akadiri et al. (2020) who stats that positive  
 379 relationship among electricity consumption and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions validate that non-renewable  
 380 electricity consumption is dominates that exert pressure on the environment. Inflation has  
 381 insignificant impact on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions of low-, middle- and high-income region which is not  
 382 consistent with the findings of Ahmed et al. (2020) and Ullah et al. (2020).

383 **Table 7: Results of FMOLS for Three Income Groups of Asia**

<b>Low Income Countries</b>			
<b>Variables</b>	<b>Coefficient</b>	<b>St. Error</b>	<b>T- stats</b>
<b>IGDP</b>	-2.837104***	0.415544	-6.827449
<b>IGDP<sup>2</sup></b>	0.117118***	0.018152	6.452213
<b>ICT index</b>	-0.115519**	0.052634	-2.194772
<b>Urban pop</b>	-0.000846	0.019156	-0.044153
<b>Elec use</b>	0.001972***	0.000358	5.509576
<b>Inflation</b>	0.001240	0.004280	0.289653
<b>Middle Income Countries</b>			
<b>Variables</b>	<b>Coefficient</b>	<b>St. Error</b>	<b>T- stats</b>
<b>IGDP</b>	1.560136***	0.596781	2.614250
<b>IGDP<sup>2</sup></b>	-0.020483*	0.012153	-1.685517
<b>ICT index</b>	-0.044319**	0.019670	-2.253099
<b>Urban pop</b>	-0.010712	0.008333	-1.285399
<b>Elec use</b>	0.000263***	3.51E-05	7.468601
<b>Inflation</b>	0.000476	0.001729	0.275300
<b>High Income Countries</b>			
<b>Variables</b>	<b>Coefficient</b>	<b>St. Error</b>	<b>T- stats</b>
<b>IGDP</b>	5.079506***	0.855432	5.937942
<b>IGDP<sup>2</sup></b>	-0.105982***	0.017011	-6.230237
<b>ICT index</b>	0.040806***	0.009568	4.264875
<b>Urban pop</b>	0.0065*	0.003829	1.714584
<b>Elec use</b>	8.54E-05***	6.24E-06	13.68275

	<b>Inflation</b>	0.000803	0.000497	1.617057
384	*** $p<0.01$ , ** $p<0.05$ , * $p<0.1$			

385 **4.5. Results of DOLS**

386 Results of DOLS for low-, middle- and high-income countries are presented in table 7.  
 387 These results are in accordance with that obtained in case of FMOLS and are robust. For the case  
 388 of low-income countries EKC hypothesis is still rejected as GDP is negative (-2.8735) and its  
 389 square is positive (0.1184) showing U-shaped relation between GDP and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. For the  
 390 middle and upper income our DOLS findings validate the EKC hypothesis as like FMOLS  
 391 findings. Further, the impact of ICT on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions is negative and significant for the low-  
 392 and middle-income group while positive and significant for the upper income group of Asia.  
 393 Regarding the impact of control variables, we get the same results in case of FMOLS in all  
 394 income groups.

395 **Table 8: Results of DOLS for Three Income Groups of Asia**

<b>Low Income Countries</b>			
<b>Variables</b>	<b>Coefficient</b>	<b>St. Error</b>	<b>T- stats</b>
<b>GDP</b>	-2.87345***	0.519276	-5.533570
<b>IGDP<sup>2</sup></b>	0.118405***	0.022701	5.215881
<b>ICT index</b>	-0.156519**	0.06685	-2.347145
<b>Urban pop</b>	0.003656	0.024433	0.149631
<b>Elec use</b>	0.002020***	0.000457	4.420807
<b>Inflation</b>	-0.000353	0.006012	-0.058751
<b>Middle Income Countries</b>			
<b>Variables</b>	<b>Coefficient</b>	<b>St. Error</b>	<b>T- stats</b>
<b>GDP</b>	1.446262***	0.529289	2.732461
<b>IGDP<sup>2</sup></b>	-0.020313**	0.010893	-1.864700
<b>ICT index</b>	-0.039923***	0.019112	-2.088875
<b>Urban pop</b>	-0.002919	0.0079291	-0.400359
<b>Elec use</b>	0.000274***	3.39E-05	8.098960
<b>Inflation</b>	0.000668	0.001406	0.475197
<b>High Income Countries</b>			
<b>Variables</b>	<b>Coefficient</b>	<b>St. Error</b>	<b>T- stats</b>
<b>GDP</b>	4.112423**	1.942903	2.116638
<b>IGDP<sup>2</sup></b>	-0.085475**	0.039338	-2.172830
<b>ICT index</b>	0.016276	0.025110	0.648176
<b>Urban pop</b>	0.013680***	0.005624	2.432575
<b>Elec use</b>	6.07E-05***	1.61E-05	3.764579
<b>Inflation</b>	0.000639	0.001417	0.451273

396 \*\*\* $p<0.01$ , \*\* $p<0.05$ , \*  $p<0.1$

397     **5.Conclusion and policy Recommendation:**

398         This study throws light on the impact of ICT adoption and GDP increase on CO<sub>2</sub>  
399         emissions within Asia. We compared these effects for all the three income groups of Asia i.e.  
400         low-, middle- and high-income countries from time period 1990 to 2016. Not any previous study  
401         available in literature which makes comparisons among the three income groups within Asia to  
402         examine the impact of ICT on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions and to determine validity of EKC based on income  
403         group concerning Asia region. However separate studies have been conducted to study the  
404         relation for different countries within Asia. Methodology we adopted for estimations is panel  
405         time series estimation procedure involving cross section dependence test, unit root tests and  
406         FMOLS and DOLS for long run estimates.

407         Results proves that Environment Kuznets curve do not exists in case of low-income  
408         Asian countries. In these countries we get U-shaped relation between GDP and environment.  
409         Whereas environmental Kuznets curve is valid for middle income and high-income Asian  
410         countries. We get inverted U-shaped relation between GDP increase and CO<sub>2</sub>emissions in these  
411         groups. Negative and significant impact of ICT employed technology on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions of low  
412         income and middle-income countries is observed. While positive and significant impact of ICT  
413         employed technology on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions is observed for high income countries.

414     **Policy recommendations:**

415         Low-income Asian countries where environmental quality gets decline in long run with  
416         increase in production should focus their research to find out such ways of production which are  
417         lesser energy consuming and by using smarter equipment. These countries should shift their  
418         production activities by using smart equipment as ICT has mitigating effect on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions of  
419         the region. Same recommendation is for middle income countries too as they have negative and  
420         significant impact of ICT on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. But these countries should attain that threshold  
421         level in production where carbon emissions start decreasing with further increase in production.

422         For high income countries where ICT equipment increases climatic burden should  
423         promote use of renewable energy resources by increasing investment in them. Carbon pricing is  
424         also an effective method to reduce climatic burden. Increase in CO<sub>2</sub> emissions due to increased  
425         use of ICT may be due to use of such equipment which is difficult to waste or recycle. Hence  
426         their recycling and e-waste generated may increase climatic burden. In these countries ICT  
427         should be employed to mitigate its impact on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions by building smart grid system.

428 Policies should be adopted to develop smarter cities, smart transportation and industrial  
429 equipment these policies result in the reduction of carbon dioxide emissions on a global scale.  
430 Further, these countries may control the carbon emissions by improving ICT through further  
431 research and development.

432 However, this study has a limitation that it used only CO<sub>2</sub> emissions as an indicator of  
433 environment. Though CO<sub>2</sub> is major contributor of greenhouse gases however other form of  
434 emissions such as nitrogen emissions and sulfur emissions are not enough less in their proportion  
435 to ignore them. But this study may prove to be helpful in examining the quality of environment  
436 by determining the changing proportion of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions due to ICT adoption and growth in  
437 GDP in each of the income group of Asia.

438 **Declarations**

439

440 **Authors' contributions** This idea was given by Muhammad Tariq Majeed. Muhammad Tariq  
441 Majeed supported in all sections of this work and completed the final write up of the paper.  
442 Amna Ahsen analysed the data and discussed the results and drafted initial versions of the other  
443 sections. Maria Mazhar wrote the introduction and literature review sections. All authors have  
444 read and approved the manuscript.

445 **Conflict of Interest:** Authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

446 **Ethical Approval:** This article does not contain any studies with human participants or  
447 animals performed by any of the authors.

448 **Finding:** No funding from any institute is received for this study.

449 **Availability of Data:** Data sources are clearly mentioned. Interested person can access the data.

450 **Computational Codes:** Computational codes are available on demand.

451 **Consent to Participate:** I am free to contact any of the people involved in the research to seek  
452 further clarification and information.

453 **Consent for publication:** Not applicable

454 **Declaration of interest statement**

455 Declaration of interests  The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or  
456 personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

457

458 **References:**

459 Adu, D. T., & Denkyirah, E. K. (2018). Economic growth and environmental pollution in West  
460 Africa: Testing the Environmental Kuznets Curve hypothesis. *Kasetsart Journal of Social  
461 Sciences, 1-18.*

462 Ahmad, W., Ullah, S., Ozturk, I., & Majeed, M. T. (2020). Does inflation instability affect  
463 environmental pollution? Fresh evidence from Asian economies. *Energy & Environment,  
464 0(0), 1-7.*

- 465 Akin, C. S. (2014). The impact of foreign trade, energy consumption and income on CO2  
466 emissions. *International Journal of Energy Economics and Policy*, 4(3), 465.
- 467 Alam, M. M., Murad, M. W., Noman, A. H. M., & Ozturk, I. (2016). Relationships among  
468 carbon emissions, economic growth, energy consumption and population growth: Testing  
469 Environmental Kuznets Curve hypothesis for Brazil, China, India and  
470 Indonesia. *Ecological Indicators*, 70, 466-479.
- 471 Al-Mulali, U., & Ozturk, I. (2016). The investigation of environmental Kuznets curve hypothesis  
472 in the advanced economies: the role of energy prices. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy  
473 Reviews*, 54, 1622-1631.
- 474 Al-Mulali, U., Saboori, B., & Ozturk, I. (2015). Investigating the environmental Kuznets curve  
475 hypothesis in Vietnam. *Energy Policy*, 76, 123-131.
- 476 Al-Mulali, U., Tang, C. F., & Ozturk, I. (2015). Estimating the environment Kuznets curve  
477 hypothesis: evidence from Latin America and the Caribbean countries. *Renewable and  
478 Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 50, 918-924.
- 479 Amri, F., Zaied, Y. B., & Lahouel, B. B. (2019). ICT, total factor productivity, and carbon  
480 dioxide emissions in Tunisia. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 146, 212-  
481 217.
- 482 Apergis, N., & Ozturk, I. (2015). Testing environmental Kuznets curve hypothesis in Asian  
483 countries. *Ecological Indicators*, 52, 16-22.
- 484 Arouri, M. E. H., Youssef, A. B., M'henni, H., & Rault, C. (2012). Energy consumption,  
485 economic growth and CO2 emissions in Middle East and North African  
486 countries. *Energy policy*, 45, 342-349.
- 487 Asongu, S. A. (2018). ICT, openness and CO 2 emissions in Africa. *Environmental Science and  
488 Pollution Research*, 25(10), 9351-9359.
- 489 Asongu, S. A., Le Roux, S., & Biekpe, N. (2017). Environmental degradation, ICT and inclusive  
490 development in Sub-Saharan Africa. *Energy Policy*, 111, 353-361.
- 491 Avgerou, C. (2003). The link between ICT and economic growth in the discourse of  
492 development. In *Organizational information systems in the context of globalization* (pp.  
493 373-386). Springer, Boston, MA.
- 494 Belkhir, L., & Elmelihi, A. (2018). Assessing ICT global emissions footprint: Trends to 2040 &  
495 recommendations. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 177, 448-463.
- 496 Bengochea-Morancho, A., Higón-Tamarit, F., & Martínez-Zarzoso, I. (2001). Economic growth  
497 and CO2 emissions in the European Union. *Environmental and Resource  
498 Economics*, 19(2), 165-172.
- 499 Breusch, T., & Pagan, A. (1980). The Lagrange Multiplier Test and Its Applications for the Error  
500 Components Model with Incomplete Panels. *Review of Economic Studies*, 47, 239-53.
- 501 Carayannis, E. G., & Popescu, D. (2005). Profiling a methodology for economic growth and  
502 convergence: learning from the EU e-procurement experience for central and eastern  
503 European countries. *Technovation*, 25(1), 1-14.
- 504 Chandran, V. G. R., & Tang, C. F. (2013). The impacts of transport energy consumption, foreign  
505 direct investment and income on CO2 emissions in ASEAN-5 economies. *Renewable and  
506 Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 24, 445-453.
- 507 Chavanne, X., Schinella, S., Marquet, D., Frangi, J. P., & Le Masson, S. (2015). Electricity  
508 consumption of telecommunication equipment to achieve a telemeeting. *Applied  
509 Energy*, 137, 273-281.

- 510 Dogan, E., Ulucak, R., Kocak, E., & Isik, C. (2020). The use of ecological footprint in estimating  
511 the environmental Kuznets curve hypothesis for BRICST by considering cross-section  
512 dependence and heterogeneity. *Science of the Total Environment*, 723, 138063.
- 513 Farhani, S., & Ozturk, I. (2015). Causal relationship between CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, real GDP, energy  
514 consumption, financial development, trade openness, and urbanization in  
515 Tunisia. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 22(20), 15663-15676.
- 516 Global E-waste monitor report. (2017) link for download pdf  
517 [https://collections.unu.edu/eserv/UNU:6341/Global-E-](https://collections.unu.edu/eserv/UNU:6341/Global-E-waste_Monitor_2017__electronic_single_pages_.pdf)  
518 waste\_Monitor\_2017\_\_electronic\_single\_pages\_.pdf
- 519 Gonel, F., & Akinci, A. (2018). How does ICT-use improve the environment? The case of  
520 Turkey. *World Journal of Science, Technology and Sustainable Development*, 15(1), 2-  
521 12.
- 522 Grossman, G. M., & Krueger, A. B. (1991). *Environmental impacts of a North American free*  
523 *trade agreement* (No. w3914). National Bureau of economic research.
- 524 Gruber, H. (2001) Competition and innovation: The diffusion of mobile telecommunications in  
525 Central and Eastern Europe, *Information Economics and Policy*, 13(1), 19–34.
- 526 Halicioglu, F. (2009). An econometric study of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, energy consumption, income and  
527 foreign trade in Turkey. *Energy Policy*, 37(3), 1156-1164.
- 528 Haseeb, A., Xia, E., Saud, S., Ahmad, A., & Khurshid, H. (2019). Does information and  
529 communication technologies improve environmental quality in the era of globalization?  
530 An empirical analysis. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 26(9), 8594-8608.
- 531 Higón, D. A., Gholami, R., & Shirazi, F. (2017). ICT and environmental sustainability: A global  
532 perspective. *Telematics and Informatics*, 34(4), 85-95.
- 533 Hilty, L. M., Arnfalk, P., Erdmann, L., Goodman, J., Lehmann, M., & Wäger, P. A. (2006). The  
534 relevance of information and communication technologies for environmental  
535 sustainability—a prospective simulation study. *Environmental Modelling &*  
536 *Software*, 21(11), 1618-1629.
- 537 Honda, S., Khetriwal, D. S., & Kuehr, R. (2016). Regional E-waste monitor: East and Southeast  
538 Asia. Ministry of the Environment, United Nation University. [Online] Available at:  
539 <http://ewastemonitor.info/pdf/Regional-E-Waste-Monitor.pdf> (March 10<sup>th</sup>, 2021).
- 540 Hossain, M. S. (2011). Panel estimation for CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, energy consumption, economic  
541 growth, trade openness and urbanization of newly industrialized countries. *Energy*  
542 *Policy*, 39(11), 6991-6999.
- 543 Iqbal, K., Hassan, S. T., & Peng, H. (2019). Analyzing the role of information and  
544 telecommunication technology in human development: panel data  
545 analysis. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 26(15), 15153-15161.
- 546 Ishida, H. (2015). The effect of ICT development on economic growth and energy consumption  
547 in Japan. *Telematics and Informatics*, 32(1), 79-88.
- 548 Jalil, A., & Mahmud, S. F. (2009). Environment Kuznets curve for CO<sub>2</sub> emissions: a co-  
549 integration analysis for China. *Energy policy*, 37(12), 5167-5172.
- 550 Javid, M., & Sharif, F. (2016). Environmental Kuznets curve and financial development in  
551 Pakistan. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 54, 406-414.
- 552 Jebli, M. B., Youssef, S. B., & Ozturk, I. (2016). Testing environmental Kuznets curve  
553 hypothesis: The role of renewable and non-renewable energy consumption and trade in  
554 OECD countries. *Ecological Indicators*, 60, 824-831.

- 555 Kaplan, W. A. (2006). Can the ubiquitous power of mobile phones be used to improve health  
556 outcomes in developing countries?. *Globalization and health*, 2(1), 9-14.
- 557 Khater, A. M., & Allah, B. A. W. (2017). The impact of information and communication  
558 technology (ICT) development on economic growth in Sudan: An application of ARDL  
559 bounds testing approach. *Archives of Business Research*, 5(3).
- 560 Kuppusamy, M., & Shanmugam, B. (2007). Islamic countries economic growth and ICT  
561 development: The Malaysisn case. *Journal of Economic Cooperation Among Islamic  
562 Countries*, 28(1), 99-114.
- 563 Kuppusamy, M., Raman, M., & Lee, G. (2009). Whose ICT investment matters to economic  
564 growth: private or public? The Malaysian perspective. *The Electronic Journal of  
565 Information Systems in Developing Countries*, 37(1), 1-19.
- 566 Lam, P. L., &Shiu, A. (2010). Economic growth, telecommunications development and  
567 productivity growth of the telecommunications sector: Evidence around the  
568 world. *Telecommunications Policy*, 34(4), 185-199.
- 569 Latif, Z., Latif, S., Ximei, L., Pathan, Z. H., Salam, S., &Jianqiu, Z. (2018). The dynamics of  
570 ICT, foreign direct investment, globalization and economic growth: Panel estimation  
571 robust to heterogeneity and cross-sectional dependence. *Telematics and  
572 Informatics*, 35(2), 318-328.
- 573 Lee, J. W., & Brahmase, T. (2014). ICT, CO<sub>2</sub> emissions and economic growth: evidence  
574 from a panel of ASEAN. *Global Economic Review*, 43(2), 93-109.
- 575 Majeed, M. T. (2018). Information and communication technology (ICT) and environmental  
576 sustainability in developed and developing countries. *Pakistan Journal of Commerce and  
577 Social Sciences*, 12(3), 758-783.
- 578 Majeed, M. T., & Mazhar, M. (2020). Reexamination of Environmental Kuznets Curve for  
579 Ecological Footprint: The Role of Biocapacity, Human Capital, and Trade. *Pakistan  
580 Journal of Commerce & Social Sciences*, 14(1), 202-254.
- 581 Mathiesen, B. V., Lund, H., Connolly, D., Wenzel, H., Østergaard, P. A., Möller, B., ... &  
582 Hvelplund, F. K. (2015). Smart Energy Systems for coherent 100% renewable energy and  
583 transport solutions. *Applied Energy*, 145, 139-154.
- 584 Mikayilov, J. I., Galeotti, M., & Hasanov, F. J. (2018). The impact of economic growth on  
585 CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in Azerbaijan. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 197, 1558-1572.
- 586 Monzon, A., Garcia-Castro, Á., & Valdes, C. (2017). Methodology to Assess the Effects of ICT-  
587 measures on Emissions. The Case Study of Madrid. *Procedia Engineering*, 178, 13-23.
- 588 Niebel, T. (2018). ICT and economic growth—Comparing developing, emerging and developed  
589 countries. *World Development*, 104, 197-211.
- 590 Notley, T. (2019). The environmental costs of the global digital economy in Asia and the urgent  
591 need for better policy. *Media International Australia*, 173(1), 125-141.
- 592 Ollo-López, A., & Aramendía-Muneta, M. E. (2012). ICT impact on competitiveness, innovation  
593 and environment. *Telematics and Informatics*, 29(2), 204-210.
- 594 Omisakin, D., & Olusegun, A. (2009). Economic growth and environmental quality in Nigeria:  
595 does environmental Kuznets curve hypothesis hold?. *Environmental Research  
596 Journal*, 3(1), 14-18.
- 597 Omojolaibi, J. A. (2010). Environmental quality and economic growth in some selected West  
598 African Countries: a panel data assessment of the environmental Kuznets curve. *Journal  
599 of Sustainable Development in Africa*, 12(8), 35-48

- 600 Panayotou, T. (1993). *Empirical tests and policy analysis of environmental degradation at*  
601 *different stages of economic development* (No. 992927783402676). International Labour  
602 Organization.
- 603 Pedroni, P. (1999). Critical values for cointegration tests in heterogeneous panels with multiple  
604 regressors. *Oxford Bulletin of Economics and statistics*, 61(S1), 653-670.
- 605 Pedroni, P. (2001). Purchasing power parity tests in cointegrated panels. *Review of Economics*  
606 *and statistics*, 83(4), 727-731.
- 607 Pedroni, P. (2004). Panel cointegration: asymptotic and finite sample properties of pooled time  
608 series tests with an application to the PPP hypothesis. *Econometric theory*, 597-625.
- 609 Pesaran, M. H. (2004). General diagnostic tests for cross-sectional dependence in  
610 panels. *Empirical Economics*, 1-38.
- 611 Pesaran, M. H. (2007). A simple panel unit root test in the presence of cross-section  
612 dependence. *Journal of applied econometrics*, 22(2), 265-312.
- 613 Phillips, P. C., & Hansen, B. E. (1990). Statistical inference in instrumental variables regression  
614 with I (1) processes. *The Review of Economic Studies*, 57(1), 99-125.
- 615 Pohl, J., Hilty, L. M., & Finkbeiner, M. (2019). How LCA contributes to the environmental  
616 assessment of higher order effects of ICT application: A review of different  
617 approaches. *Journal of Cleaner Production*. 698-712.
- 618 Pradhan, R. P., Arvin, M. B., & Norman, N. R. (2015). The dynamics of information and  
619 communications technologies infrastructure, economic growth, and financial  
620 development: Evidence from Asian countries. *Technology in Society*, 42, 135-149.
- 621 Pradhan, R. P., Mallik, G., & Bagchi, T. P. (2018). Information communication technology (ICT)  
622 infrastructure and economic growth: A causality evinced by cross-country panel  
623 data. *IIMB Management Review*, 30(1), 91-10.
- 624 Raheem, I. D., Tiwari, A. K., & Balsalobre-Lorente, D. (2020). The role of ICT and financial  
625 development in CO<sub>2</sub> emissions and economic growth. *Environmental Science and*  
626 *Pollution Research*, 27(2), 1912-1922.
- 627 Ropke, I., & Christensen, T. H. (2012). Energy impacts of ICT—Insights from an everyday life  
628 perspective. *Telematics and Informatics*, 29(4), 348-361.
- 629 Saboori, B., Sulaiman, J., & Mohd, S. (2012). Economic growth and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in Malaysia:  
630 a co-integration analysis of the environmental Kuznets curve. *Energy policy*, 51, 184-  
631 191.
- 632 Saikkonen, P. (1992). Estimation and testing of cointegrated systems by an autoregressive  
633 approximation. *Econometric theory*, 1-27.
- 634 Saint Akadiri, S., Alola, A. A., Bekun, F. V., & Etokakpan, M. U. (2020). Does electricity  
635 consumption and globalization increase pollutant emissions? Implications for  
636 environmental sustainability target for China. *Environmental Science and Pollution*  
637 *Research*, 27(20), 25450-25460.
- 638 Salahuddin, M., Alam, K., & Ozturk, I. (2016). The effects of Internet usage and economic  
639 growth on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in OECD countries: A panel investigation. *Renewable and*  
640 *Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 62, 1226-1235.
- 641 Sassi, S., & Goaied, M. (2013). Financial development, ICT diffusion and economic growth:  
642 Lessons from MENA region. *Telecommunications Policy*, 37(4-5), 252-261.
- 643 Saud, S., Chen, S., & Haseeb, A. (2019). Impact of financial development and economic growth  
644 on environmental quality: an empirical analysis from Belt and Road Initiative (BRI)  
645 countries. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 26(3), 2253-2269.

- 646 Shahbaz, M., Hye, Q. M. A., Tiwari, A. K., & Leitão, N. C. (2013). Economic growth, energy  
 647 consumption, financial development, international trade and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in  
 648 Indonesia. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 25, 109-121.
- 649 Shahiduzzaman, M., & Alam, K. (2014). Information technology and its changing roles to  
 650 economic growth and productivity in Australia. *Telecommunications Policy*, 38(2), 125-  
 651 135.
- 652 Stock, J. H., & Watson, M. W. (1993). A simple estimator of cointegrating vectors in higher  
 653 order integrated systems. *Econometrica: Journal of the Econometric Society*, 783-820.
- 654 Tiwari, A. K. (2011). Energy consumption, CO<sub>2</sub> emissions and economic growth: Evidence  
 655 from India. *Journal of International Business and Economy*, 12(1), 85-122.
- 656 Toader, E., Firtescu, B., Roman, A., & Anton, S. (2018). Impact of information and  
 657 communication technology infrastructure on Economic Growth: An empirical assessment  
 658 for the EU countries. *Sustainability*, 10(10), 3750.
- 659 Ullah, S., Apergis, N., Usman, A., & Chishti, M. Z. (2020). Asymmetric effects of inflation  
 660 instability and GDP growth volatility on environmental quality in  
 661 Pakistan. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research International*.27(2020) 31892–  
 662 31904.
- 663 Westerlund, J. (2007). Testing for error correction in panel data. *Oxford Bulletin of Economics  
 664 and statistics*, 69(6), 709-748.
- 665 World bank (2019). World development indicators. Available from  
 666 <https://databank.worldbank.org/source/world-development-indicators>
- 667 World Bank.(2007). The world bank annual report 2007. [Online] Available at:  
 668 <https://openknowledge.worldbank.org/bitstream/handle/10986/7534/411880v10ENGLIS>  
 669 HOWBAR0200701PUBLIC1.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y
- 670 Zhang, C., & Liu, C. (2015). The impact of ICT industry on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions: a regional analysis  
 671 in China. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 44, 12-19.

## 672 Appendix:

673 **Table A1. Definition of Variables and their Source.**

Variable	Description	Data source
CO <sub>2</sub> emissions.	Carbon dioxide emissions per capita	World Bank (2019)
Gross domestic product.	Annual gross domestic product constant 2010 US\$.	World Bank (2019)
Fixed telephone subscriptions.	Fixed telephone lines per 100 people	World Bank (2019)
Fixed broadband subscriptions.	No of broadband subscriptions per 100 people.	World Bank (2019)
Mobile cellular subscriptions.	Subscriptions to cellular mobile service per 100 people.	World Bank (2019)
Individuals using internet.	Individuals using internet per 100 people.	World Bank (2019)
ICT Index	Index constructed using ICT listed 4 measures	World Bank (2019)
Urban Population	Urban Population in percentage	World Bank (2019)
Electric power consumption	Electric power consumption	World Bank (2019)
Inflation	Inflation measured as GDP deflator.	World Bank (2019)